

Appendix 2

Form Letter 1



C E N T E N N I A L

at TEJON  RANCH

From: lynnette.royce@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Please say NO to Centennial
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 1:58:47 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Lynnette Royce
Bishop, CA 93514
lynnette.royce@gmail.com

From: mbtrautwein@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: No on Centennial— Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 01, 2018 10:40:55 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Mb Trautwein
Venice, CA 90291
mbtrautwein@yahoo.com

From: clintrmatkovich@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Far away, but in full view
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 4:53:55 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Clint Matkovich
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360
clintrmatkovich@email.arizona.edu

From: thefrogbag@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: After attending Wednesday's City Planning Commission meeting, I'm more convinced than ever that Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 6:31:06 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Corinna Bechko
Los Angeles, CA 90065
thefrogbag@gmail.com

From: myrainbowhorses@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 08, 2018 11:06:37 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Ruth Dessementet
North Plains, OR 97133
myrainbowhorses@gmail.com

From: villageunited@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 08, 2018 9:48:22 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Michelle Barrett
Birmingham, AL 35215
villageunited@msn.com

From: belindalg@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 08, 2018 9:48:20 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Belinda Greb
Vida, OR 97488
belindalg@gmail.com

From: jackiefranklin77@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 08, 2018 8:48:56 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jackie Franklin
Raleigh, NC 27614
jackiefranklin77@yahoo.com

From: koltzfam@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 08, 2018 8:17:14 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Larry Koltz
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150
koltzfam@yahoo.com

From: csmac22@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 08, 2018 7:05:27 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Carolyn McMillan
Berkeley, CA 94703
csmac22@gmail.com

From: j1devine@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 08, 2018 6:45:32 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Joanne DeVine
Folsom, CA 95630
j1devine@yahoo.com

From: veggiegrl7@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 08, 2018 6:32:18 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
M Kohn
Lake Zurich, IL 60047
veggiegrl7@gmail.com

From: ddbowerfarm@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 08, 2018 6:26:53 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Bower
Bancroft, MI 48414
ddbowerfarm@yahoo.com

From: jjgree07@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 08, 2018 6:08:56 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jared Greenlee
Shepherdsville, KY 40165
jjgree07@gmail.com

From: jweyekin@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 08, 2018 6:08:03 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Minnick
Southampton, PA 18966
jweyekin@hotmail.com

From: talia.niederman@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 08, 2018 5:55:18 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Talia Niederman
North Bergen, NJ 07047
talia.niederman@gmail.com

From: tylergraham@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 08, 2018 5:20:56 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Tyler Graham
Harrisburg, PA 17112
tylergraham@kings.edu

From: lcm93410@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 08, 2018 4:52:14 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Laura Moore
Wilmington, NC 28411
lcm93410@yahoo.com

From: loribotuchis@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 08, 2018 4:37:57 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Lori Botuchis
Vista, CA 92085
loribotuchis@yahoo.com

From: michaelwauschek@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 08, 2018 2:04:08 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Michael Wauschek
Cerritos, CA 90703
michaelwauschek@yahoo.com

From: justpeachie13@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 08, 2018 12:29:12 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sally Myers
Grantville, GA 30220
justpeachie13@att.net

From: davidmaillet91@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 08, 2018 12:19:18 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
David Maillet
Van Nuys, CA 91411
davidmaillet91@gmail.com

From: krahenbill.b@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 08, 2018 12:00:04 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Bryan Krahenbill
Concord, CA 94520
krahenbill.b@gmail.com

From: elohrer46@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 11:11:53 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Eileen Lohrer
Weaverville, NC 28787
elohrer46@gmail.com

From: kayucian@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 10:43:56 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Erin Barca
San Ramon, CA 94583
kayucian@gmail.com

From: zors_primary@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 10:22:22 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Margaret Rasor
Austin, TX 78745
zors_primary@yahoo.com

From: davidmaillet91@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 10:05:00 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
David Maillet
Van Nuys, CA 91411
davidmaillet91@gmail.com

From: priddy.brian@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 9:45:35 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Brian Priddy
Beaverton, OR 97007
priddy.brian@gmail.com

From: cats4all@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 9:30:35 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Ellen McConnell
Sayreville, NJ 08872
cats4all@optonline.net

From: naturenerd@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 9:21:25 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Kevin Flynn
San Diego, CA 92102
naturenerd@cox.net

From: rparkt@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 9:02:37 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Ryan Park
Torrance, CA 90503
rparkt@gmail.com

From: stephaniermjones@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 8:58:27 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Jones
Bedford, NH 03110
stephaniermjones@gmail.com

From: ckegarrrity@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 8:24:59 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Courtney Garrity
Arcata, CA 95521
ckegarrrity@gmail.com

From: ccapaldo@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 7:36:47 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Christine Capaldo
Telluride, CO 81435
ccapaldo@aol.com

From: anevolver@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 6:33:25 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Kevin Hughes
Anacortes, WA 98221
anevolver@gmail.com

From: tamara.cornett@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 6:20:30 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Tamara Cornett
Oceanside, CA 92056
tamara.cornett@gmail.com

From: katfish@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 6:14:04 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Katherine Hillmer
Placerville, CA 95667
katfish@cantrip.org

From: vlrie69@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 5:53:24 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Valerie Sisson
Grand Rapids, MI 49508
vlrie69@gmail.com

From: downsd_2000@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 5:36:54 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Debra Downs
Lake Dallas, TX 75065
downsd_2000@yahoo.com

From: Pfooster@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 5:21:53 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Trish Foster
Beverly Hills, FL 34465
Pfooster@mail.plymouth.edu

From: decarloville@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 5:13:28 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Kandi DeCarlo
Riverside, CA 92506
decarloville@sbcglobal.net

From: bonniechojnacki@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 4:39:33 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Chojnacki
Pittsburgh, PA 15235
bonniechojnacki@yahoo.com

From: Butterbeer401@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 4:21:48 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Liebherr
Cranston, RI 02920
Butterbeer401@gmail.com

From: paula@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 3:28:11 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
paula keisler
Saint Charles, IL 60174
paula@mitsein.net

From: j.hagens8@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 3:22:35 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jen Hagens
New York, NY 10166
j.hagens8@hotmail.com

From: lunkfish68@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 3:09:07 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
art palmer
Norwood, NY 13668
lunkfish68@gmail.com

From: pamelal111@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 2:49:39 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Pam Pendleton
Cincinnati, OH 45245
pamelal111@twc.com

From: ssajan188@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 2:20:46 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sajan Singh
Rocklin, CA 95765
ssajan188@gmail.com

From: gretvillard@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 2:20:11 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Gretchen Sullivan
Millersburg, OH 44654
gretvillard@yahoo.com

From: cmitchell451@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 2:10:36 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Charles Mitchell
Towson, MD 21204
cmitchell451@gmail.com

From: leha@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 1:58:09 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Leha Carpenter
Santa Rosa, CA 95404
leha@webpeoplemedia.com

From: wrightml3@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 1:56:37 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Muriel Wright
Austin, TX 78705
wrightml3@aol.com

From: eaweintrob@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 1:56:33 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Libby Weintrob
Orinda, CA 94563
eaweintrob@gmail.com

From: bathlar@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 1:51:54 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Larry Bathgate
Mill Valley, CA 94941
bathlar@yahoo.com

From: janet.weil13@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 1:48:35 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Janet Weil
Palm Springs, CA 92262
janet.weil13@gmail.com

From: starryshirley@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 1:48:07 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
shirley swan
00000
starryshirley@hotmail.co.uk

From: alexgharling@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 1:46:59 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Alex Harling
64348
alexgharling@icloud.com

From: yajuukage@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 1:39:38 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Miriam Eckardt
Oakland, CA 94607
yajuukage@yahoo.com

From: bastianmark@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 1:38:40 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Mark Bastian
New York, NY 10028
bastianmark@hotmail.com

From: regina.watkins@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 1:35:35 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Regina Watkins
Tucson, AZ 85745
regina.watkins@cox.net

From: sgraceduncan@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 1:35:29 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sarah Duncan
Roslindale, MA 02131
sgraceduncan@gmail.com

From: alexandrahamalkah@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 1:24:07 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Alexandra Badger
Saint Petersburg, FL 33709
alexandrahamalkah@gmail.com

From: agflood@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 1:17:03 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Alison Flood
Fort Worth, TX 76107
agflood@outlook.com

From: MaryFinelli@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 1:03:16 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Mary Finelli
Silver Spring, MD 20904
MaryFinelli@comcast.net

From: twr@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 12:50:05 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Tina Clevenger
53773
twr@freenet.de

From: rkmanak@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 12:49:03 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Rachel Manak
Kennesaw, GA 30144
rkmanak@aol.com

From: beckys52@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 12:44:01 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Becky Sims
Julian, NC 27283
beckys52@bellsouth.net

From: nativeofny1@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 12:38:23 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Peter Wood
Los Angeles, CA 90046
nativeofny1@yahoo.com

From: lesliepperson@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 12:37:22 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Leslie Epperson
Tucson, AZ 85719
lesliepperson@comcast.net

From: jluwins@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 12:36:04 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Uwins
Carlsbad, CA 92009
jluwins@hotmail.com

From: murray@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 12:30:54 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Murray Bolesta
Green Valley, AZ 85622
murray@cactushuggers.com

From: lambrightm@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 12:26:45 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Mary Lambright
Savannah, GA 31406
lambrightm@gmail.com

From: tyeddi@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 12:22:52 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Diana Harrison
Pine Mountain Club, CA 93222
tyeddi@aol.com

From: astrltiger@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 12:18:15 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Dee Dawson
Dunellen, NJ 08812
astrltiger@yahoo.com

From: tyeddi@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 12:17:08 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Diana Harrison
Pine Mountain Club, CA 93222
tyeddi@aol.com

From: shannonisafarmer@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 12:14:14 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Shannon Anderson
Concord, NC 28025
shannonisafarmer@gmail.com

From: joecol707@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 12:11:18 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Joseph Collins
South Richmond Hill, NY 11419
joecol707@gmail.com

From: fdfoleys@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 12:06:42 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Patricia Foley
Sugar Land, TX 77479
fdfoleys@windstream.net

From: cindy.hicks519@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 12:02:16 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Hicks
Phoenix, AZ 85015
cindy.hicks519@gmail.com

From: natali100@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 12:01:10 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
deborah vandamme
Las Vegas, NV 89144
natali100@yahoo.com

From: meredith.parets@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 12:00:48 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Meredith Daly
Phoenix, AZ 85015
meredith.parets@gmail.com

From: melekcorel@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 11:57:43 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Melek Korel
99999
melekcorel@hotmail.com

From: kparmitage@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 11:56:42 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Kathye Armitage
Santa Clarita, CA 91390
kparmitage@yahoo.com

From: tedporter26@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 2:56:51 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Ted Porter
North Hollywood, CA 91601
tedporter26@hotmail.com

From: irbis77@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 1:43:32 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Anna Jasiukiewicz
63400
irbis77@wp.pl

From: dreamofshadows.am@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 8:08:14 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Aileen Milliman
Long Beach, CA 90802
dreamofshadows.am@gmail.com

From: veganforjustice@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 2:59:13 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Riplee Darling
Madison, WI 53705
veganforjustice@icloud.com

From: carnen.snap@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 2:45:10 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Carmen Lukes
Scotts Valley, CA 95066
carmen.snap@gmail.com

From: jasarkady@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 2:04:03 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jill Sarkady
New Haven, CT 06513
jasarkady@yahoo.com

From: laurenevilla@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 1:28:44 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Lauren Villa
Los Angeles, CA 90048
laurenevilla@gmail.com

From: mrslafleur@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 12:26:58 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Donnette Lafleur
Sunset, LA 70584
mrslafleur@gmail.com

From: malomlush@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 11:49:53 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
rose ramirez
Temecula, CA 92592
malomlush@yahoo.com

From: deevand@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 10:59:15 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Dee Van
Salinas, CA 93908
deevand@hotmail.com

From: sbokser1@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 10:57:36 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Steffi bokser
San Diego, CA 92131
sbokser1@icloud.com

From: michele.kribs@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 9:28:08 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Michele Kribs
Portland, OR 97212
michele.kribs@gmail.com

From: mayalanmolina@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 8:00:39 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Mayalan Molina
Tucson, AZ 85745
mayalanmolina@gmail.com

From: sunfireeye@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 7:42:13 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Holly Humphrey
Van Nuys, CA 91405
sunfireeye@aol.com

From: dancebabe44@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 6:41:24 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Betty Lang
Bel Air, MD 21014
dancebabe44@yahoo.com

From: lawoman0071@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 5:34:55 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Rio Marie Page
Sparta, WI 54656
lawoman0071@yahoo.com

From: cindywil@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 3:33:29 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Cindy Wilson
Saint Cloud, FL 34769
cindywil@shaw.ca

From: erick.m.garcia3@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 1:45:47 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Erick Garcia
Pomona, CA 91767
erick.m.garcia3@gmail.com

From: blakevi@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 12:28:52 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Blake Viola
Long Beach, CA 90802
blakevi@earthlink.net

From: ejenkins954@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 11:33:25 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Emily Jenkins
La Crescenta, CA 91214
ejenkins954@gmail.com

From: jhgreen715@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 11:14:48 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Juan Hernandez
El Paso, TX 79915
jhgreen715@yahoo.com

From: joanwager204@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 10:47:38 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Joan Wager
Berkeley, CA 94707
joanwager204@comcast.net

From: terielee.taylorsmith@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 9:41:02 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Terie-Lee Taylor-Smith
Issaquah, WA 98027
terielee.taylorsmith@rocketmail.com

From: cristinaplemel@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 8:55:43 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Cristina Plemel
Los Angeles, CA 90042
cristinaplemel@gmail.com

From: halcyon51@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 7:18:52 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Dana Phillips
Santa Paula, CA 93061
halcyon51@yahoo.com

From: artemis8360@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 7:00:35 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Christina Dean
Middleburg, FL 32068
artemis8360@yahoo.com

From: flanative02@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 6:30:30 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Carmen Williams
White Springs, FL 32096
flanative02@earthlink.net

From: dianeyoung07@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 6:14:29 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Diane Young
Kernersville, NC 27284
dianeyoung07@gmail.com

From: cindycraft68@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 6:13:38 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Cindy Craft
San Jose, CA 95123
cindycraft68@yahoo.com

From: davidmaillet91@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 5:58:41 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
David Maillet
Van Nuys, CA 91411
davidmaillet91@gmail.com

From: mshabbott@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 5:53:34 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Mary Shabbott
Punta Gorda, FL 33950
mshabbott@sbcglobal.net

From: jgillenfuller@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 5:24:17 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jared Fuller
American Fork, UT 84003
jgillenfuller@yahoo.com

From: monicawood@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 5:19:29 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Monica Wood
Simi Valley, CA 93063
monicawood@me.com

From: fuqua24@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 5:19:25 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Chad Fuqua
Houston, TX 77080
fuqua24@gmail.com

From: stoneharborfilms@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 5:13:26 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Judith Vogelsang
North Hollywood, CA 91601
stoneharborfilms@aol.com

From: Dorilehner@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 4:56:02 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Dori Lehner
Canoga Park, CA 91309
Dorilehner@gmail.com

From: ron@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 4:40:22 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Ron Kraus
Newhall, CA 91321
ron@placerita.org

From: sbmcgillewie@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 4:09:58 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sara McGillewie
Sachse, TX 75048
sbmcgillewie@hotmail.com

From: cemitchell19@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 3:55:57 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Courtney Mitchell
Glendora, CA 91740
cemitchell19@me.com

From: indiamazonica@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 3:54:08 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Janie Olivas
Downey, CA 90241
indiamazonica@aol.com

From: josafi@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 3:46:15 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sabine Fischer
Rockport, KY 42369
josafi@unitybox.de

From: blugoart@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 3:28:40 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Brianna Lugo
Los Angeles, CA 90063
blugoart@gmail.com

From: mclucus@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 3:25:34 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Maura Lucas
Malibu, CA 90265
mclucus@aol.com

From: sherrell@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 3:18:41 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sherrell Cuneo
Los Angeles, CA 90027
sherrell@nevernevermusic.com

From: tonyfederico@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 3:13:51 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Tony Federico
Los Angeles, CA 90065
tonyfederico@gmail.com

From: svg123@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 3:00:04 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Shaun Gonzales
Washington, DC 20010
svg123@hotmail.com

From: jweberski@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 2:51:47 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
John Weberski
Walnut Creek, CA 94596
jweberski@yahoo.com

From: biscotti2@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 2:30:55 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Marie Alabiso
Plymouth, MA 02360
biscotti2@aol.com

From: rllangley09@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 2:25:09 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Rachael Langley
Franklin, TN 37067
rllangley09@gmail.com

From: byronrandall@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 2:08:19 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Byron Merrill
Glendora, CA 91741
byronrandall@gmail.com

From: jk1045@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 1:26:49 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jeffrey Kramer
Brooklyn, NY 11234
jk1045@outlook.com

From: jackiefranklin77@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 1:19:40 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jackie Franklin
Raleigh, NC 27614
jackiefranklin77@yahoo.com

From: bastianmark@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 1:18:22 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Mark Bastian
New York, NY 10028
bastianmark@hotmail.com

From: sandrar1011@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 1:14:04 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sandra Romero
Huntington Park, CA 90255
sandrar1011@gmail.com

From: rtcameron@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 12:58:43 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Tricia Cameron
Big Bear City, CA 92314
rtcameron@att.net

From: robin13bee@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 12:28:29 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Robin Ricker
Mcminnville, OR 97128
robin13bee@gmail.com

From: tiffers@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 12:15:36 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Tiffany Marsh
Midway, KY 40347
tiffers@mac.com

From: kellshan@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 12:10:41 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Shana Kelly
Seattle, WA 98105
kellshan@hotmail.com

From: jspazz22@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 12:01:49 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jessica Way
Santa Rosa, CA 95401
jspazz22@hotmail.com

From: tylergraham@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 11:39:13 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Tyler Graham
Harrisburg, PA 17112
tylergraham@kings.edu

From: triciavines@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 11:30:53 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Patricia Vineski
South Colton, NY 13687
triciavines@yahoo.com

From: ekbaker22@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 11:18:21 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth D
West Hartford, CT 06107
ekbaker22@yahoo.com

From: 412enter@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 11:08:44 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Meghan Bohan
Bakersfield, CA 93312
412enter@gmail.com

From: cindy.hicks519@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 11:05:17 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Hicks
Phoenix, AZ 85015
cindy.hicks519@gmail.com

From: renmel35@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 10:58:12 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Renee Gleason
Lake Arrowhead, CA 92352
renmel35@hotmail.com

From: sunnysmiles1@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 10:53:11 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Mary Maher
Milpitas, CA 95035
sunnysmiles1@hotmail.com

From: mmhltd@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 10:36:41 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Amanda Hefner
Parker, CO 80138
mmhltd@aol.com

From: Lindebooms@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 10:31:16 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sandra Lindeboom
Clovis, CA 93612
Lindebooms@yahoo.com

From: beckyriley81@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 10:28:00 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Riley
Woodland Hills, CA 91367
beckyriley81@gmail.com

From: trubin54@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 10:19:13 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Toni Rubin
Portland, OR 97214
trubin54@gmail.com

From: mrrachma@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 10:16:30 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Mark Rachman
Woodland Hills, CA 91364
mrrachma@gmail.com

From: sarahraskin@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 9:16:45 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sarah Raskin
Ojai, CA 93023
sarahraskin@hotmail.com

From: stefanigreenwood@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 8:45:29 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Stefani Greenwood
Pasadena, CA 91107
stefanigreenwood@gmail.com

From: joesoch@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 8:29:16 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Joseph Sochor
San Diego, CA 92117
joesoch@me.com

From: treehuggers@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 7:13:59 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Roger Klemm
Sunland, CA 91040
treehuggers@ca.rr.com

From: mbmetcalf@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 5:30:19 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Mary Metcalf
Sacramento, CA 95819
mbmetcalf@ucdavis.edu

From: trishbwell@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 1:00:52 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Trish Bagley
Forestville, CA 95436
trishbwell@gmail.com

From: noodlemisery@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 12:47:05 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Lindsey Moore
Lafayette, CA 94549
noodlemisery@gmail.com

From: mariahans@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 10:13:21 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Maria Christensen
Valencia, CA 91355
mariahans@aol.com

From: paultigue@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 10:12:08 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Paul Tigue
Los Angeles, CA 90071
paultigue@sbcglobal.net

From: migrantmendicant@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 9:40:50 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Andrew Tessandori
Orange, CA 92866
migrantmendicant@yahoo.com

From: gpmorris@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 9:07:30 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Gerald Morris
San Diego, CA 92129
gpmorris@ucsd.edu

From: lynn.yamaoka@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 9:04:49 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Lynn Yamaoka
Torrance, CA 90503
lynn.yamaoka@gmail.com

From: marsha.neill@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 8:47:08 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Marsha Neill
Lancaster, CA 93535
marsha.neill@gmail.com

From: r.streetmorris@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 8:40:02 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Robin Morris
San Diego, CA 92129
r.streetmorris@gmail.com

From: lauragarrispam@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 8:14:51 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Laura Garrison
Oakland, CA 94602
lauragarrispam@gmail.com

From: doneill@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 6:23:32 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Debra O'Neill
Escondido, CA 92029
doneill@catlover.com

From: boomchick66@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 6:22:00 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Lisa Fredriksen
Los Angeles, CA 90032
boomchick66@gmail.com

From: Fredrinne@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 5:45:23 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
fred rinne
San Francisco, CA 94112
Fredrinne@yahoo.com

From: e.bravery@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 5:27:04 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth schwartz
Agoura Hills, CA 91301
e.bravery@gmail.com

From: cselter@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 4:41:15 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Carol Selter
Santa Cruz, CA 95062
cselter@cruzio.com

From: lenorajmitchell@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 4:29:33 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Lenora Mitchell
Riverside, CA 92508
lenorajmitchell@icloud.com

From: klewis@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 4:21:35 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Karlyn Lewis
Fairfield, CA 94533
klewis@jimbabwe.com

From: lirioppehybrid@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 3:48:28 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Katherine Waselkov
Fresno, CA 93705
lirioppehybrid@hotmail.com

From: jane.martin@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 3:10:05 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jane Martin
Pasadena, CA 91107
jane.martin@gmail.com

From: condorita@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 3:07:54 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Darla Doxstater
Anaheim, CA 92801
condorita@sbcglobal.net

From: madisonmost@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 2:57:38 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Madison Most
Thousand Oaks, CA 91362
madisonmost@gmail.com

From: karen.thordarson@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 2:36:53 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Karen Thordarson
Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275
karen.thordarson@cox.net

From: traceycsmallwood@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 9:31:56 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Tracey Katsouros
Waldorf, MD 20601
traceycsmallwood@gmail.com

From: linda245@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Sunday, June 03, 2018 4:10:28 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Linda Andrews
Canterbury, CT 06331
linda245@peoplepc.com

From: rannyhumphrey@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Saturday, June 02, 2018 8:48:42 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
RANDOLPH HUMPHREY
Seattle, WA 98118
rannyhumphrey@gmail.com

From: jjgree07@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Saturday, June 02, 2018 4:01:37 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jared Greenlee
Shepherdsville, KY 40165
jjgree07@gmail.com

From: lynngott@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Saturday, June 02, 2018 3:16:50 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Lynn Gottschalk
Cincinnati, OH 45242
lynngott@fuse.net

From: kristaleeryan@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Saturday, June 02, 2018 2:49:21 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Krista Ryan
Glendale, CA 91201
kristaleeryan@gmail.com

From: pvineski@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Saturday, June 02, 2018 12:45:08 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Patricia Vineski
South Colton, NY 13687
pvineski@yahoo.com

From: melekcorel@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Saturday, June 02, 2018 12:29:59 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Melek Korel
99999
melekcorel@hotmail.com

From: Klieblingla@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Saturday, June 02, 2018 11:01:28 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Karen Liebling
Los Angeles, CA 90049
Klieblingla@gmail.com

From: waterfallsrapture@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Saturday, June 02, 2018 10:19:40 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Cora Whitmore
Bangor, ME 04401
waterfallsrapture@hotmail.com

From: hosomosos@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Saturday, June 02, 2018 9:45:20 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jennifer Formoso
Oakland, CA 94602
hosomosos@ousd.org

From: josephwenzel@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Saturday, June 02, 2018 6:29:34 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Joseph Wenzel
Lake Elmo, MN 55042
josephwenzel@msn.com

From: ABWIG71@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 01, 2018 1:55:28 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
BEVERLY WIGGIN
Pembroke, MA 02359
ABWIG71@COMCAST.NET

From: dshaw77708@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 01, 2018 11:50:12 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Donna Shaw
Simi Valley, CA 93065
dshaw77708@sbcglobal.net

From: Ck55@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 01, 2018 11:02:35 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Koch
Long Beach, CA 90807
Ck55@verizon.net

From: latinleo@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 01, 2018 10:51:54 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Hank Ramirez
San Diego, CA 92116
latinleo@sbcglobal.net

From: yajuukage@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 01, 2018 8:53:40 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Miriam Eckardt
Oakland, CA 94607
yajuukage@yahoo.com

From: joegallag2@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 01, 2018 5:14:26 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Joe Gallagher
Los Angeles, CA 90046
joegallag2@sbcglobal.net

From: shanny_baby1@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 01, 2018 4:03:38 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Shannon Leitner
Staunton, IL 62088
shanny_baby1@yahoo.com

From: curtainclimber@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 01, 2018 12:55:17 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Scott Powers
Raymond, ME 04071
curtainclimber@hotmail.com

From: cort.cunningham@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 11:38:12 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Cort Cunningham
Brooklyn, NY 11231
cort.cunningham@gmail.com

From: ddbowerfarm@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 11:29:50 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Rebecca Bower
Bancroft, MI 48414
ddbowerfarm@yahoo.com

From: buckobrendan@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 11:00:59 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial!! !!

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Brendan Bucko
Altamonte Springs, FL 32714
buckobrendan@gmail.com

From: dustystruthers@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 6:24:23 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Dusty Struthers
Caledonia, OH 43314
dustystruthers@yahoo.com

From: 3232ziggy@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 5:50:00 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Meg Park
Crescent Mills, CA 95934
3232ziggy@gmail.com

From: clsmiley1578@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 5:18:07 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Christine Smiley
Running Springs, CA 92382
clsmiley1578@gmail.com

From: monarchtagger@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 2:53:05 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Denise Gibbs
Cornville, AZ 86325
monarchtagger@gmail.com

From: bevvanderstar@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 2:24:48 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Bev Vanderstar
Geneva, FL 32732
bevvanderstar@gmail.com

From: bbullock2000@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 1:12:53 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Beverly Bullock
New York, NY 10036
bbullock2000@hotmail.com

From: lcm93410@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 1:08:44 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Laura Moore
Wilmington, NC 28411
lcm93410@yahoo.com

From: marietcox51@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 12:49:14 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Marie Cox
North Canton, OH 44720
marietcox51@gmail.com

From: shima39@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 12:43:01 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Virginia McCoy
Mimbres, NM 88049
shima39@live.com

From: tiffhiggins@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 12:21:55 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Tiffany Higgins
Oakland, CA 94606
tiffhiggins@yahoo.com

From: davidmaillet91@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 12:08:53 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
David Maillet
Van Nuys, CA 91411
davidmaillet91@gmail.com

From: ljtraver@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 12:03:42 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
LJ Travers
Punta Gorda, FL 33955
ljtraver@eckerd.edu

From: gahstrong@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 11:43:22 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Grace Strong
Ironwood, MI 49938
gahstrong@gmail.com

From: cindy.hicks519@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 11:16:39 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Cynthia Hicks
Phoenix, AZ 85015
cindy.hicks519@gmail.com

From: rristrue4u@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 11:07:33 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Rolf Friis
Louisville, KY 40218
rristrue4u@yahoo.com

From: nuquamsubistoexplorans@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 10:53:33 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Mari Porter
Farmington, MO 63640
nuquamsubistoexplorans@gmail.com

From: nativeofny1@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 10:44:44 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Peter Wood
Los Angeles, CA 90046
nativeofny1@yahoo.com

From: protrolium@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 10:41:22 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Gavin Gamboa
North Hollywood, CA 91601
protrolium@gmail.com

From: jackiefranklin77@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 10:37:44 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jackie Franklin
Raleigh, NC 27614
jackiefranklin77@yahoo.com

From: cobosaki@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 10:30:25 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Rick Cobos
Tacoma, WA 98466
cobosaki@hotmail.com

From: paul.s.kramer@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 10:26:56 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Paul Kramer
Minneapolis, MN 55408
paul.s.kramer@gmail.com

From: ken.toll@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 10:25:46 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Ken Toll
Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33418
ken.toll@gmail.com

From: tylergraham@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 10:11:30 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Tyler Graham
Harrisburg, PA 17112
tylergraham@kings.edu

From: elena@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 8:07:15 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
elena bajo
Venice, CA 90291
elena@elenabajo.com

From: pamorton@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 10:16:22 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Patricia Morton
Los Angeles, CA 90039
pamorton@ix.netcom.com

From: durgaakv@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 7:17:44 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Anuradha Vikram
Los Angeles, CA 90018
durgaakv@gmail.com

From: taulapapa@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 11:05:46 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Daniel McMullin
Laguna Niguel, CA 92677
taulapapa@gmail.com

From: kaylameloni@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 9:28:24 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Kate Wolf
Los Angeles, CA 90042
kaylameloni@gmail.com

From: Nehabythesea@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 8:40:20 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Neha Choksi
Inglewood, CA 90302
Nehabythesea@hotmail.com

From: kimberly@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 8:21:53 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Kimberly Varella
Los Angeles, CA 90004
kimberly@content-object.com

From: merino@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, May 29, 2018 11:18:26 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Justin Merino
Sun Valley, CA 91352
merino@kulturspace.com

From: slowtractor@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, May 29, 2018 8:59:16 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Robert Herbst
Los Angeles, CA 90004
slowtractor@hotmail.com

From: timream34@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, May 28, 2018 11:47:39 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Tim Ream
Seal Beach, CA 90740
timream34@gmail.com

From: alyssadiaz3@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Saturday, May 26, 2018 9:43:47 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Alyssa Diaz
Los Angeles, CA 90031
alyssadiaz3@gmail.com

From: wereoutthere@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, May 25, 2018 6:03:26 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
David Osinga
San Rafael, CA 94901
wereoutthere@msn.com

From: davidmaillet91@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, May 25, 2018 5:02:28 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
David Maillet
Van Nuys, CA 91411
davidmaillet91@gmail.com

From: moventrice@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 24, 2018 10:31:47 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Monica Ventrice
Loma Mar, CA 94021
moventrice@gmail.com

From: ekubey@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 24, 2018 4:07:03 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Elizabeth Kubey
Sacramento, CA 95816
ekubey@cnps.org

From: dannygirlish@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 24, 2018 3:46:04 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Dandelo Waters
Berkeley, CA 94703
dannygirlish@hotmail.com

From: sarahtwilkinson7@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 24, 2018 2:58:12 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Sarah Wilkinson
Duarte, CA 91010
sarahtwilkinson7@gmail.com

From: garrettahern@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 24, 2018 11:33:44 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Garrett Ahern
San Luis Obispo, CA 93401
garrettahern@ymail.com

From: ngresonance@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, May 23, 2018 1:02:24 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Timothy Lawnicki
Long Beach, CA 90806
ngresonance@gmail.com

From: newgrowth@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 08, 2018 10:00:08 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Michael Harris
Los Gatos, CA 95033
newgrowth@live.com

From: ctkel@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for this most northern part of Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 7:50:24 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Terry Kelling
Frazier Park, CA 93225
ctkel@hotmail.com

From: cripster@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: I'm outraged! Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles!
Date: Friday, June 01, 2018 2:34:36 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Eric Simpson
Cardiff By The Sea, CA 92007
cripster@humboldt1.com

From: cripster@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: OMFG!!!! Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles, and CA, and the World!
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 8:52:12 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Eric Simpson
Cardiff By The Sea, CA 92007
cripster@humboldt1.com

From: EveryDay4Life@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: PLEASE: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 12:06:36 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Dee Austring
Tombstone, AZ 85638
EveryDay4Life@gmail.com

Jodie Sackett

From: aisabelborges@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Andrea Borges
<aisabelborges@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 12:37 AM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: No Centennial, save irreplaceable grasslands and wildlife

Dear Jodie Sackett,

California wants to preserve it's last grassland and wildlife, we save no to urban sprawl and development. Scientist warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Andrea Borges
Monterey Park, CA 91754
aisabelborges@yahoo.com

Jodie Sackett

From: rcgolec@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Clare Golec <rcgolec@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, June 07, 2018 3:36 PM
To: Jodie Sackett
Subject: Centennial project has significant social and environmental impacts

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Centennial project exemplifies poor land-use planning and valuation with the expansion into undeveloped native habitat.

L.A.'s priceless wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native wildflowers and grasslands are already gone forever. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be significantly harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and valuable natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable wildflowers and grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Clare Golec
Arcata, CA 95521
rcgolec@gmail.com

From: dtmeyer@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles and California
Date: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 10:01:23 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

More sprawl with big houses and large lots is the wrong way to add housing to our great State of California. It will use too much water and cause too much more pollution. And, once our open spaces are gone, they cannot be restored. Please consider other solutions.

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Donna Meyer
Escondido, CA 92025
dtmeyer@pacbell.net

From: klemos@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 08, 2018 4:30:31 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Please veto this project! The location is one of my favorite wildflower memories from my years living in Bakersfield CA.

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Kelly Smith
Groton, NY 13073
klemos@mail.com

From: john@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 9:48:42 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Please don't do this. Isn't LA already an endless 1.5 hours of concrete, end to end, at 75 MPH. How much is enough?

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
John Miranda
Tucson, AZ 85755
john@johnmiranda.com

From: avenkristine@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 8:10:27 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Sprawl is bad. Egregiously so. For so, so many reasons.

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Aven Frey
Bremerton, WA 98312
avenkristine@gmail.com

From: wylzcox@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 5:53:27 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

The conversion of natural habitat to human use is the #1 driver of the Sixth Extinction and the #1 threat to human well-being in the U.S. & globally.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Wylie Cox
Moab, UT 84532
wylzcox@yahoo.com

From: mcampaigne@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, June 07, 2018 3:31:02 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Bad idea for so many reasons!

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Margie Campaigne
Rochester, NY 14609
mcampaigne@hotmail.com

From: gmwallen435@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, June 06, 2018 8:31:32 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

I was tempted to edit this text but after careful consideration, must admit I couldn't improve on it as is.

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Gary Wallen
Los Angeles, CA 90046
gmwallen435@aol.com

From: kweinstein884@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 3:06:52 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

With the Trump Administration decimating our natural lands, we don't need one more sprawling community bringing pollution to an area that remains relatively unspoiled. This is an area with limited water despite what Tejon Ranch says. Why bring thousands of people HERE?

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Weinstein
Pine Mountain Club, CA 93222
kweinstein884@gmail.com

From: brtdvs@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 1:47:21 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

As a horticulturist- I have found true passion in plants- and have rejected a lot of the consumer driven, grossly reproducing, trash creating society that this world is coming to. We have been losing our native Californian flora and fauna too quickly in the last century and a half- and to decide to create a new city in the middle of nowhere, a place that is still natural- fueling pollution from vehicles and destruction of the earth is careless, disrespectful and unjust to those that don't have a voice. Do not be self centered and close minded, think about our Earth as a species- it will eventually die and at a very rapid rate if people like you do not think intelligently. DO NOT DO THIS.

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Brittany Davis
Whittier, CA 90605
brtdvs@yahoo.com

From: bonomax@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 12:13:13 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

I was born and raised in L.A. There is already so much urban sprawl. This is a bad idea. No amount of money is worth destroying what little open space is still around. It needs to be protected at all costs.

Sincerely,
Christine Garcia
Concord, CA 94519
bonomax@pacbell.net

From: mt_dressage@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 11:03:22 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

You don't have enough water resource to do this development! Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Susan Xanthopoulos
Dillon, MT 59725
mt_dressage@yahoo.com

From: eferdig@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 10:43:14 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

You think I-5/Grapevine is congested now..

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
E F
Aptos, CA 95001
eferdig@att.net

From: missclairem@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 9:06:44 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

I grew up in LA, hiking and exploring the outdoors. The Angeles Forest is where I fell in love with nature and discovered my career path. I strongly believe that we need to protect our environment by not continuing to spread urban sprawl. Let invest in improving the existing infrastructure to make the cities more livable and more affordable for all.

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Claire Meyler
Emeryville, CA 94608
missclairem@gmail.com

From: odessa789@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Tuesday, June 05, 2018 6:58:51 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

This effects all of California,
Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Oona Squire
Petaluma, CA 94952
odessa789@yahoo.com

From: disujo56@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 11:58:31 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

I am originally from that area of California and where this development is going is pristine and needs to be kept that way. Please don't develop over this land filled with native plants flowers and amazing biodiversity. It needs to be protected. Additionally, Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Diana Jones
Monterey, CA 93940
disujo56@gmail.com

From: lovetheplants@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 11:14:10 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

I live in Castaic on the 5 mile grade of the Grapevine. Are you crazy about increasing the amount of traffic in this area?

In case of an earthquake, there are stretches of the 5fwy that are actually bridges that those of us who live here know to avoid, as they will most likely become unsafe or even collapse.

We've had mudslides, flooding and snow in this area. When that happens the Grapevine part of the 5 gets closed all the way to Parker in Castaic. Trucks and cars triple park along The Old Road - often to Hasley Canyon and no one can enter or leave the area until it gets cleared up.

That's the only options for those who live here. When the increased traffic brings more accidents, know that cell service is a combination of sketchy to non-existence.

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Helen Sweany
Castaic, CA 91384

lovetheplants@gmail.com

From: chrisblueberry13@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 9:43:49 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Having been to this part of Tejon Ranch, I can attest that it a stunningly beautiful area, but not one where people should be living. It hosts amazing plant and wildlife, serving as a critical wildlife corridor. The answer to California's housing problems should not be found in the destruction of our few remaining wild areas. There is so much to be developed within LA city limits alone. Please stop the sprawl. This will only destroy habitat, increase pollution/traffic, and be too late to solve anything.

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Christopher Berry
Granada Hills, CA 91344
chrisblueberry13@gmail.com

From: jpeet06@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Monday, June 04, 2018 6:53:40 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

As a natural resources specialist familiar with the Tejon Ranch region, I do NOT believe that Centennial is an acceptable development project. I have consulted for numerous natural resource surveys and associated reporting in the Southern California area, and I believe there are proper areas for development, and there are some that are not. I believe Centennial is NOT.

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
James Peet
Ojai, CA 93023
jpeet06@ucsbalum.com

From: jboggs1940@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Friday, June 01, 2018 1:55:55 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

I've been through this country many times and can't support this unnecessary project which may add tax base but will do significant harm to the environment in several ways and to your county citizens. Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Jerry Boggs
El Cajon, CA 92021
jboggs1940@gmail.com

From: goearthad@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 9:34:27 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

CA has been like my 2nd home for over 30 years. I have family there and moving to LA very soon. I love CA! Think it's the most beautiful state in America. I've always been so proud of your progressive environmental policies. You're a leader and role model for the world! Please don't go back to the terrible days of smoggy skies and add to more climate change! Protect your wild places like the treasures they are. If there's one thing I'm sure about. Californians are creative and innovative! You can generate revenue without spoiling the beauty of CA.

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Alice Darby
Dallas, TX 75214
goearthad@icloud.com

From: ken@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles
Date: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 3:29:13 PM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

As a faculty member at CalArts this issue is very concerning to me. Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
Ken Ehrlich
Los Angeles, CA 90065
ken@kenehrlich.net

From: greenbirdtouch@everyactioncustom.com
To: [Jodie Sackett](#)
Subject: Centennial is the Wrong Vision for Los Angeles!
Date: Friday, June 08, 2018 3:08:35 AM

Dear Jodie Sackett,

Scientists warn that we're in the middle of a climate crisis. Because transportation is the single-largest driver of carbon pollution in California, new development located far from existing cities has disproportionately large climate impacts. Centennial exemplifies poor, outdated land-use planning on an unprecedented scale. This isolated new city would lock in at least 75,000 new vehicle trips per day with an average trip length of over 45 miles.

If you're committed to a sustainable future for L.A., then you need to say no to Centennial.

L.A.'s wildlands are rapidly diminishing. Ninety-nine percent of California's native grasslands are already gone forever, paved over by similar sprawl development. The Centennial project site contains the last, largest and best native grassland and wildflower fields left in California. Rare wildlife such as California condors, bald eagles, kit foxes and pronghorn also will be harmed if Centennial is built.

It's your job to protect our communities and natural resources, not sell them out to greedy developers.

L.A. County should be setting the standard for 21st-century planning by supporting transit-friendly, urban, affordable housing. Centennial is a poster child of 20th-century sprawl development that exacerbates threats to our population through increasing greenhouse-gas production and climate change, air pollution and a deteriorating quality of life.

The proposed site is in "high" and "very high" fire hazard severity zones. And it's directly on top of California's two major earthquake faults. The area was rated by the U.S. Geological Survey as having the highest level of earthquake hazard.

The county and its taxpayers will be on the hook for millions to provide basic public and emergency services to this remote area.

Instead of allowing isolated sprawl development on irreplaceable grasslands in an area at extremely high risk for fires and earthquakes, L.A. should focus on creating safe housing and economic opportunities in or near existing cities.

Stop the destructive insanity of greed! Please say no to Centennial: It's the wrong vision for L.A.

Sincerely,
David Parker
Sherwood, AR 72120
greenbirdtouch@aol.com